

JAN 2 4 2014

Joan Stearns, Treasurer Friends of Cliff Stearns P. O. Box 308 Silver Springs, FL 34489

RE: MUR 6610

Friends of Cliff Stearns and Joan Stearns as treasurer

Dear Mrs. Stearns:

On July 25, 2012, the Federal Election Commission notified Friends of Cliff Stearns and its treasurer (collectively the "Committee") of a complaint alleging violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act") and Commission regulations. On January 16, 2014, the Commission found, on the basis of the information in the complaint, and information provided by the Committee, that there is no reason to believe that the Committee and its treasurer in her official capacity violated the Act and underlying Commission regulations, as alleged in the complaint. Accordingly, the Commission closed its fiir in this matter on January 16, 2014.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days.

See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files,
68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General
Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66,132 (Dec. 14, 2009). The Factual and Legal
Analysis, which explains the Commission's findings, is enclosed for your information.

If you have any questions, please contact Ruth Heilizer, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

BY:

Jeff S. Jordan

Supervisory Attorney
Complaints Examination

al Counset

& Legal Administration

Enclosure:

Factual and Legal Analysis

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION		
2			a*a
3	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS		
4			
5	RESPONDENTS:	Friends of Cliff Stearns	
6		Joan Stearns as treasurer	MUR 6610
7		Clifford B. "Cliff" Stearns	
8			
0	I INTRODUCTION		

INTRODUCTION

This matter was generated by a Complaint filed by David Wolfson alleging violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act") and underlying Commission regulations by Friends of Cliff Stearns and Joan Stearns in her official capacity as treasurer1 (collectively the "Committee") and Clifford B. "Cliff" Stearns. After reviewing the record, the Commission found no reason to believe Mr. Stearns² and the Committee and its treasurer in her official capacity violated the Act and underlying Commission regulations as to the allegations in the Complaint.

II. **FACTUAL & LEGAL ANALYSIS**

In this matter, the Complaint alleges violations of the Act and Commission regulations through May 2012 in connection with e-mails transmitted by the Committee and information displayed on its website. Compl. at 1, 4, 8, 12. First, the Complaint alleges that three Committee "bulk electronic mail communication[s]" allegedly transmitted on February 7, 9, and

According to the Committee's amended Statement of Organization, filed on April 6, 2013, Joan Stearns replaced Juanita Ransom as treasurer. Ms. Ransom was the Committee's treasurer during the time period covered in this Report and filed a Response on behalf of the Committee, see infra.

Stearns was an unsuccessful candidate for re-election in the 2012 Republican primary for Florida's 3rd Congressional District.

Complainant filed four separately notarized Complaints on the same day. Although each Complaint attaches different communications, we are treating them as a single Complaint since three contain similar text and the fourth alleges another disclaimer violation by the same Committee. None of the Complaints contain page numbers so, for the Commission's convenience, we are including a paginated version of the contbined Cumplaints as Attachment 1.

MUR 6610 (Friends of Cliff Stearns, et al.) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2

- 1 10, 2012, do not comply with the Commission's disclaimer provisions under the Act. *Id.*
- 2 Specifically, the Complaint claims the e-mails violated 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(b)(1), id., which
- 3 states that if a communication that requires a disclaimer is paid for and authorized by a
- 4 candidate's authorized committee, the disclaimer notice must identify the committee that paid for
- 5 the message. Copies of the three e-mails at issue are attached to the Complaint. *Id.* at 2-3, 5-7,
- 6 9-11. Second, the Complaint claims that, as of May 9, 2012, the Committee's website lacked a
- 7 disclaimer required by 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(b)(1). *Id.* at 12.
- The Complaint also claims that the Committee's website violated 11 C.F.R.
- 9 § 102.5(a)(2)(ii) and (iii) by failing to "provide appropriate and compliant disclosure statement
- 10 [sic] pertaining to contributions to the federal campaign account." Compl. at 12. The Complaint
- asserts that instead of providing the allegedly required "disclosure statement," the "campaign
- 12 website linked to an outside vendor" that "does not make an effort to ensure contributions are in
- compliance with FECA regulations prohibiting contributions from 'corporations, labor
- organizations, federal government contractors and foreign nationals." Id.
- In response, Respondents Cliff Steams and his Committee state that the three e-mails
- 16 "were all press releases, sent only to the Friends of Cliff Stearns press list, which at no time has
- 17 had more than 57 recipients." Resp, at 1. Respondents contend that disclaimers were not
- required because the applicable regulation applies only to e-mails "of more than 500
- substantially similar communications." Id. (quoting 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(a)(1)).
- With respect to disclaimers on the Committee's website, Respondents state that the
- 21 "proper disclaimer" was included on the "homepage and was clearly visible to anyone logging

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

on to the website." Resp. at 1. According to Respondents, "[t]he fact that the complainant's

- 2 particular screenshots do not show a disclaimer fail to demonstrate that one did not exist." Id.
- 3 Finally, in reference to the Complaint's allegation concerning the Committee's outside vendor,
- 4 Respondents take the position that the cited regulations apply to "a federal committee," not an
- 5 outside vendor. *Id.* at 1-2 (emphasis omitted).

Under 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a), political committees must provide disclaimers for certain communications. When a communication as described in 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(a), including a solicitation, is paid for and authorized by a candidate, an authorized committee of a candidate, or an agent of either, the disclaimer must clearly state that the communication has been paid for by the authorized political committee. *Id.* § 110.11(b)(1). These disclaimer requirements apply to political committee websites available to the general public and substantially similar e-mails numbering in excess of 500. *Id.* § 110.11(a)(1).

Respondents claim that none of their e-mails were sent to more than 57 recipients. As such, without any information to the contrary, it cannot be concluded that the e-mails at issue were required to contain disclaimers. In addition, based on the available information, it does not appear that the Committee's website lacked the requisite disclaimer at the time the Complaint was filed. See Resp. at 1; supra n. 4.

The Complaint further alleges that the Committee violated section 102.5. That section covers "organization[s]... that finance[] political activity in connection with *both* Federal and non-Federal elections." 11 C.F.R. § 102.5(a)(1) (emphasis added). Such organizations that opt

The Response states that the "selected screenshots" appended to the Complaint were taken from a website that "no longer exists" and was "replaced by a new website in June, 2012." Resp. at 1. Neither the Complaint nor the Response includes a screenshot of the Committee's homepage as it existed at the time of the Complaint. The homepage currently available on the Committee's website displays the disclaimer, "Paid for by Friends of Cliff Stearns, Copyright 2012." See http://cliffstearns.net (last visited August 14, 2013).

15

16

17

MUR 6610 (Friends of Cliff Stearns, et al.)
Factual and Legal Analysis,
Page 4

to create a separate federal account in a depository, see 11 C.F.R. § 102.5(a)(1)(i), may only 1 2 deposit contributions into the federal account if one of three conditions is met: The contributions 3 (i) were designated for the federal account; (ii) resulted from a solicitation that expressly states 4 the contribution will be used in connection with a federal election; or (iii) were given by 5 contributors who were informed that all contributions are subject to the prohibitions and 6 limitations of the Act. 11 C.F.R. § 102.5(a)(2)(i)-(iii). See Explanation and Justification for 7 Prohibited and Excessive Contributions: Non-Federal Funds or Soft Money, 67 Fed. Reg. 8 49,064, 49,073 (July 29, 2002) (explaining that the purpose of section 102.5(a)(2) is to ensure 9 that contributors to federal accounts know the intended use of their contributions). Here, there is 10 no indication that the Committee "finances political activity in connection with both Federal and 11 non-Federal elections." 11 C.F.R. § 102.5(a)(1). The Committee therefore falls outside the scope of section 102.5.5 12 13 Thus, the Commission found there was no reason to believe Friends of Cliff Stearns and 14 Joan Stearns in her official capacity as treasurer violated the Act and underlying Commission

regulations, as alleged in the Complaint. In addition, with respect to Mr. Stearns individually,

the Commission found there was no reason to believe he violated the Act and underlying

Commission regulations, as alleged in the Complaint.

Moreover, although "Commission regulations require committee treasurers to examine 'all contributions received for evidence of illegality... [see] 11 CFR 103.3(b),' [t]his requirement applies to contributions once they have been received by the committee" (emphasis in original). Advisory Op. 2011-13 (Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee) at 4. And, when soliciting contributions online, federal political committees may post language "to ensure that contributions are not accepted from prohibited sources" as a safeguard, but "no particular notice of this type is required by the Act and Commission regulations." Id.